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- Introduction
- UAFCHA presentation and discussion
UA Chinese Faculty (by 2020)

- > 188 total, 153 (~7% of total TT faculty) TT (42/47/54)
- Science/Engineering/Medicine/Eller/…
- Contribute greatly to research (fund, paper,…), teaching, service.

UAFCHA (UA Faculty of Chinese Heritage Association)

- founded in 03/13/2021, registered under UA Faculty Affairs Office
- Membership open to all interested parties (71 members)
- Mission
  - promote and support members
  - support UA missions and serve UA community
Outline
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  from politics to prosecution
- Finding based on Survey
  negative impacts and insecurity
- Support we need
Background: from Politics to Prosecution

- **Dramatic change of environment (2018-2021)**
  - DOJ China Initiative, NIH 10,000 letters, FBI warning brochure to academia
  - Implementation of new policies from federal agencies and universities

- **Targeted investigation (2018-2021)**
  - High profile arrests, 2021 (MIT, Prof. Gang Chen), very different University responses
  - Wrongly charged and later dismissed cases: Gang Chen, Anming Hu, Sherry Chen, Xiaoxing Xi, ...
Survey on the impact of academic research

- 5 Universities nationwide (Umich, Mich State, Iowa State, Columbia, Notre Dame) 295/927
- UA 37/70, different colleges, different ranks

- I prefer not to answer.
- College of Science; Applied Science; Optical Science;
- College of Engineering
- School of Medicine; Nursing; Pharmacy; Health Science C...
- Business; Management
- Humanities; Social and Beha...
- Other professional school (su...
- other
Main Findings: Negative Impacts and Insecurity

 Sense of Security
- Do not feel safe as Chinese-origin researchers in the U.S. 62% (59%)
- Worried about Collaboration/traveling to China 73% (72%)
- Fear university will not support Asian American scholars if targeted by the US government 24% (40%)
- Concerns are largely invisible to University leadership 78% (64%)

 Procedure-wise
- Unclear of rights or responsibilities when investigated 67% (91%)
- Unclear of what to disclose
  • to university 75% (71%)
  • to funding agency 74% (64%)

 Faculty/Student recruitment/retention
- Faculty thought about leaving the US for another country 21% (32%)
- Reduced student/postdoc application pool/more difficult to recruit/faculty reluctant to take Chinese students
- 30% (31%) faculty report they have students/postdocs turned down US offer because they feel the US is not a welcoming environment

 Lack Asian American inclusion
- Univ leadership positions lack Asian American representation 87% (86%)

UA (nation-wide)
Reflection and Actions

- **Survey data raise surprising alarms**
  - Negative impact on academic research with reduced:
    - federal grants
    - research activity
    - international student enrollment
  - Hurt the competitiveness, innovation, and productivity of US academic research
  - Further fuel microaggression, anti-Asian hate

- **Actions taken**
  - Letters and meetings with University leadership
  - Letters and communications with DOJ, congress and president Biden
Support We Need

- **Action to enhance sense of security**
  - Convey the message to colleges (deans), depts (heads), center (directors)
  - Set up an advisory office/designated personnel to ensure faculty’s legal rights during any inquiries.

- **Action to increase procedure transparency and legal protection**
  - Increase clarity/consistency/equality in policies regarding disclosure
  - Checklist of disclosure to funding agencies/internal reporting
  - Guideline regarding federal investigation

- **Action to improve recruitment and retention**
  - Expel concerns over "China Initiative" and racial profiling
  - On behalf of us, improve communication with state legislature, congressional delegations, other Universities, government agencies, the public

- **Action to improve Asian American inclusion**
  - Representation in leadership roles
  - Voice of our community
Survey Details
62% (59%) do not feel safe as Chinese-origin academic researchers in the U.S., while additional 8% (12%) are not sure (70% total/71%)
73% (72%) are worried about traveling to and/or bringing students to China (post-pandemic)
77% are worried about collaborations with colleagues in China
24% (40%) fear university will not support Asian American faculty, staff or students if targeted by the US government, protect faculty
29% (77%) of faculty believe university encouraged these before 2018. Only 5% (9%) do now.
78% (64%) agree that Asian American faculty, staff and student concerns are largely invisible to University leadership.

Question: Asian American faculty, staff, and student concerns are largely invisible to university leadership (293 replies)
67% (91%) of those investigated were not informed of their rights or responsibilities

#2: Procedure-wise

Did you know, or were you informed, about your rights and responsibilities prior to any discussion? (32 responses)

- 91% Never
- 6% prefer not to answer
- 3% Yes
Disclosure

- 72% (81%) of researchers know WHERE they should disclose COI to Universities
- Only 25% (29%) know what should be disclosed to universities
- Only 26% (36%) know what should be disclosed to federal funding agencies
30% (31%) have students/postdocs who have turned down offers from US institutions because they feel the US is not a welcoming environment (reduction of application pool + hard to recruit + faculty reluctant to take Chinese students)
21% (32%) have thought about leaving the US for another country.
#4: 87% (86%) agree that University leadership positions lack Asian American representation

[Bar chart showing the distribution of responses to the question: University leadership positions lack Asian American representation (293 replies).]
In 2018, Department of Justice (DOJ) established the “China Initiative” with objectives to investigate and prosecute trade secret theft and economic espionage.

Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) published a brochure warning academic institutions: China, the Risk to Academia.

National Institute of Health (NIH) sent 10,000 letters to all research universities/institutions warning undisclosed foreign ties.
https://www.science.org/content/article/nih-letters-asking-about-undisclosed-foreign-ties-rattle-us-universities
China Initiative

Since 2018

- 19 out of 77 (25%) economic espionage
- 23 cases (30%) grant or visa fraud by academics
- 130 out of 148 (88%) individuals charged under the initiative are scientists of Chinese heritage

Research Integrity cases - MIT Technology Review’s China Initiative Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defendant</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Charges</th>
<th>Disposition</th>
<th>Technology Area</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Country of Citizenship</th>
<th>Race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feng Tao</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Wire fraud,Federal program fraud</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Chemical engineering</td>
<td>University of Kansas</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhengdong Cheng</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Wire fraud, False statements, Co Pending</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Texas A&amp;M University</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mingqing Xiao</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Wire fraud,False statements,Fai Pending</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td>Southern Illinois University - Carbondale</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin Yang</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Wire fraud,False statements</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>University of Florida</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangqing Ye</td>
<td>Research integrity, Agent of a foreign government</td>
<td>Visa fraud,False statements, Act Pending</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Boston University</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyya Meyyappan</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>False statements</td>
<td>Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Nanotechnology</td>
<td>NASA</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Saw-Teong Ang</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Wire fraud, False statements, Fai Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Electrical engineering</td>
<td>University of Arkansas</td>
<td>United States - Naturalized</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turab Lookman</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>False statements</td>
<td>Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Physics</td>
<td>Los Alamos National Laboratory</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Patrick Lewis</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Federal program fraud</td>
<td>Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Materials science</td>
<td>West Virginia University</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Not Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiao-Jiang Li</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Making and subscribing a false</td>
<td>Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>Emory University</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song Guo Zheng</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>False statements,Federal progr Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>The Ohio State University</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaosong Zheng</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Smuggling goods from the Units Pleased guilty</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>Beth Israel Deacorhess Medical Medical</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Lieber</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>False statements,Filing false tax Found guilty after jury trial</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Nanotechnology</td>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Not Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gang Chen</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Wire fraud,False statements,Fai Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Nanotechnology</td>
<td>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiong Wang</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>False claims,Wire fraud</td>
<td>Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>Cleveland Clinic Foundation</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Tang</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Visa fraud,False statements</td>
<td>Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>UC Davis</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xin Wang</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Visa fraud</td>
<td>Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>UC - San Francisco</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guan Lei</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Visa fraud,False statements,Des Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Pending</td>
<td>Machine learning</td>
<td>UCLA</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen Song</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Visa fraud,Destruction and alter</td>
<td>Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaikai Zhao</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Visa fraud,False statements</td>
<td>Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Artificial intelligence</td>
<td>Indiana University</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haizhou Hu</td>
<td>Research integrity, Theft of trade secrets</td>
<td>Unauthorized access to comput</td>
<td>Charges dismissed by the government</td>
<td>Robotics</td>
<td>University of Virginia</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anming Hu</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>Wire fraud,False statements</td>
<td>Acquitted by judge after mistrial</td>
<td>Nanotechnology</td>
<td>University of Tennessee, Knoxville</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Andel Research Institute</td>
<td>Research integrity</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Settled with government</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

https://www.technologyreview.com/2022/01/20/1043848/china-initiative-gang-chen-mit-dismissed/
Background

Andrew E. Lelling
Partner, Investigations & White Collar, Jones Day
3d · Edited

When we created DOJ’s China Initiative in 2018, we were responding to long-term concerns about economic espionage involving an emerging geopolitical rival. This was sound policy, but the Initiative has drifted and, in some significant ways, lost its focus. DOJ should revamp, and shut down, parts of the program, to avoid needlessly chilling scientific and business collaborations with Chinese partners. MIT Technology Review did a great job breaking down the Initiative’s record, three years in.

#china #whitecollarcrime #researchintegrity

By Katie Benner
Feb. 20, 2022, 5:00 a.m. ET

Sign Up for On Politics  A guide to the political news cycle, cutting through the spin and delivering clarity from the chaos. Get it with a Times subscription.

WASHINGTON — The Justice Department will soon announce changes to the China Initiative, a Trump-era effort to combat Chinese national security threats, after civil rights proponents, business groups and universities told the Biden administration that the program had fostered suspicion of Asian professors working in the United States, chilled scientific research and contributed to a rising tide of anti-Asian sentiment, according to people briefed on the matter.

The likely changes, including retiring the China Initiative name, are the result of a three-month evaluation undertaken by Matthew G. Olsen, the head of the Justice Department’s national security division.