

College:

University of Arizona
Post-Tenure Review Summary for 2021
 PART I. ANNUAL REVIEWS

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Total Tenured Faculty Reviewed in 2021 ^A : | | | | |
| Ratings: | Teaching | Scholarship | Service | Rating of Overall Performance |
| Truly Exceptional | | | | |
| Exceeds Expectations | | | | |
| Meets Expectations | | | | |
| Needs Improvement | | | | |
| Unsatisfactory^B | | | | |
| Not Applicable/Not Rated in this Category (Explain all in a footnote) | | | | |
| Total | | | | ^A |

^A The total number of tenured faculty reviewed that is reported should equal the total number for "Rating of Overall Performance."

^B If unsatisfactory in one or more areas, complete the appropriate worksheet for "Faculty Development Plans" or "Performance Improvement Plans" in Part III.

Enter additional notes below:

College:

**University of Arizona
Post-Tenure Review Summary for 2021**

PART II. DEAN'S LEVEL AUDIT

1. Number of files audited and reviewed in Dean's Level Audit:

2. Were there any problems identified?

YES

NO

3. If any problems were identified, list each problem and describe the action taken. Add additional pages as needed.

4. What was the makeup of the panel convened by the dean and how was the audit committee chosen?

5. Describe actions taken on the problems identified in the previous year's audit.

6. Enter the number of files sent back to departmental peer committees for re-examination.