Dear Referee:

The Department of [name of department] is evaluating [name of candidate] for [examples: continuing status and promotion to rank/title].

Since you are recognized as a leading scholar in [name of candidate]'s field, we would appreciate your assistance in assessing his/her record of work. The University of Arizona values an inclusive view of scholarship in the recognition that knowledge is acquired and advanced through discovery, integration, application, and teaching. Given this perspective, promotion and continuing status reviews, as detailed in the criteria of individual departments and colleges, will recognize original research contributions in peer-reviewed publications as well as integrative and applied forms of scholarship that involve cross-cutting collaborations with business and community partners, including translational research, commercialization activities, and patents.

In your letter, please note how well you know the candidate. If you have collaborated with the candidate within the last five years, we will appreciate your describing the nature and extent of your collaborations. The University of Arizona defines collaborators as individuals who within the last five years have coauthored books, articles, abstracts, or papers; submitted grant proposals; or co-edited journals, compendia, or conference proceedings. Rather than submitting external review letters, collaborators are invited to submit collaborator letters that outline the significance of the independent contributions of candidates. Collaborators also include individuals such as dissertation directors and former coworkers who have worked so closely with a candidate that questions may arise about whether they can offer independent assessments of the candidate’s achievements.

We have attached the following materials to help you evaluate [name of candidate]'s record:
1. a curriculum vitae;
2. a summary of his/her workload assignment;
3. a statement in which he/she explains his/her program of work;
4. copies of our departmental and college promotion/tenure criteria; and
5. a representative set of [examples: articles; slides; tapes]. If you would like to review additional materials, we will be happy to send them.

This paragraph may be included if appropriate to the candidate: The University of Arizona highly values interdisciplinarity, so we request that you also consider [name of candidate]'s interdisciplinary contributions to teaching, research and service. As part of [name of candidate]'s duties, [he/she] participates in the following interdisciplinary units and/or Graduate Interdisciplinary Programs: [Names of units and/or GIDPs]. A description of [name of candidate]'s interdisciplinary efforts in these programs is included in the dossier.

We appreciate your providing a detailed assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate's research record, including the significance and impact of his/her disciplinary contributions, recognition at national or international levels, and promise of sustained scholarly activity. As part of your assessment, please evaluate the relative ranking of the journals, presses and other venues in which the candidate has published. Our criteria also include consideration of teaching and service. If you have information and recommendations based on these areas, we will appreciate your comments on them. Finally, we ask that you specifically state if you recommend that the candidate be awarded [examples: continuing status and promotion to rank/title].

I greatly appreciate your willingness to invest your time in this process. Please include a copy of your abbreviated curriculum vitae with your review. Your recommendation will be treated with the greatest possible confidentiality permitted by the Arizona Board of Regents’ policy and applicable law.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the process. Thank you for your contributions to this review.